1	SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP		
2	PAUL RIEHLE Bar No. 115199 RANDALL BLOCK Bar No. 121330 JIA-MING SHANG Bar No. 233326 One Market Plaza, Steuart Tower, 8th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 781-7900		
3			
4			
4			
5	Facsimile: (415) 781-2635		
6	Email: paul.riehle@sdma.com		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8	XS HOLDING B.V., a Dutch Corporation		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	XS HOLDING B.V, derivatively on behalf	CASE NO. C08 02282 RMW	
	of Xslent Technologies, LLC and XET Holding Co., LLC, and separately on its	PLAINTIFF XS HOLDING B.V.'S	
13	own behalf,	STATEMENT OF LIMITED NON-	
14	Plaintiff,	OPPOSITION TO EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR RELIEF FROM	
15	rammi,	RULE 26 OBLIGATIONS	
16	COOL EARTH SOLAR, INC., a Delaware		
	corporation; ROB LAMKIN, an individual; LAWRENCE ASUNCION, an individual;		
17	SOLAR COMPONENTS LLC, a Delaware		
18	limited liability company; NATHAN SCHULHOF, an individual; M. JAMES		
19	BULLEN, an individual; MARTIN N.		
20	LETTUNICH, an individual; STEFAN		
	MATAN, an individual; and XSLENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company		
21	and ATIRA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a		
22	Nevada limited liability company;		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25	TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:		
26	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiff XS HOLDING B.V. ("XS Holding") does not		
27	oppose the Ex Parte Application for Relief from Rule 26 Obligations filed by Xslent, LLC on June		
28	16, 2008, to which defendants Martin Lettunich, Stefan Matan, and Atira Technologies, LLC		

1	have joined, except that instead of an unlimited, indefinite stay, XS Holding requests that a		
2	further hearing be held in 60 days on September 1, 2008, or as soon thereafter on a date		
3	convenient to the Court, to set new dates for the parties' Rule 26 Obligations.		
4	XS Holding does not concede – and indeed, vigorously disputes – the characterizations		
5	and accusations against XS Holding made by Xslent, LLC in what should have been a ministerial		
6	discovery scheduling issue. Nevertheless, because it agrees that events in a related state case ma		
7	affect the course of discovery in this action, XS Holding does not oppose a 60 day stay regarding		
8	the Rule 26 Obligations.		
9	Dated: July 1, 2008	SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP	
10		SEDOWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLF	
11		D	
12		By : /S/ JIA-MING SHANG	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff XS HOLDING B.V.		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			